1

2

4

5

6

7

8

LEON LEE MEYERS,

SCOTT KERNAN, et al.,,

v.

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. 1:22-cv-00539-ADA-SAB (PC)

ORDER REFERRING CASE TO POST-SCREENING ADR AND STAYING CASE

Hearing: Settlement Conference
Date: December 12, 2023

Time: **9:30 a.m.**

Judge: **Barbara A. McAuliffe**Location: via Zoom Videoconference

Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Because it takes years to get to trial, the Court has identified this case as an appropriate case for post-screening ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution), which is an effort to resolve such cases more expeditiously and less expensively. No claims, defenses, or objections shall be waived by the parties' participation. In appropriate cases, defense counsel from the California State Attorney General's Office has agreed to participate in these early settlements.

As set forth in the Court's screening order, the complaint states a cognizable claim. However, stating cognizable claims does not mean Plaintiff will prevail at trial. Thus, the Court stays this action to allow the parties to investigate Plaintiff's claims, meet and confer, and then participate in a settlement conference.

Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe to conduct a <u>video settlement conference</u>, <u>via the Zoom videoconferencing application</u>, on <u>December 12</u>, **2023**, at 9:30 a.m. The Court will issue any necessary transportation order in due course.

Case 1:22-cv-00539-ADA-SAB Document 41 Filed 09/12/23 Page 2 of 5

Counsel for Defendants shall contact Courtroom Deputy Esther Valdez at (559) 499-5788 or evaldez@caed.uscourts.gov for the video and dial-in information, including any necessary passcodes, for all parties. Counsel for Defendants is also required to arrange for Plaintiff's participation by contacting the Litigation Coordinator at the institution where Plaintiff is housed and providing the necessary Zoom contact information.

In issuing this order, there is a presumption that this case will proceed to a settlement conference.¹ However, if after investigating Plaintiff's claims and speaking with Plaintiff, and after conferring with others, defense counsel in good faith finds that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources, defense counsel may move to opt out of this early settlement conference. A written notice to opt out must be filed within **thirty (30) days** of the date of the issuance of this order.

The parties shall each submit to Judge McAuliffe a confidential settlement conference statement, as described below, to arrive at least seven days (one week) prior to the conference.

The Court puts the parties on notice that if Plaintiff has any outstanding criminal restitution obligation, fines and/or penalties, these settlement negotiations shall not be geared towards what the restitution obligation is, but what the value of the case itself is to each side, irrespective of any outstanding restitution obligation.

If Defendants do not elect to opt out of the settlement conference, Defendant shall be prepared to negotiate the merits of the case and offer more than a waiver of costs as a reasonable compromise to settle the case. The parties are also informed that an offer of dismissal in exchange for a waiver of costs is not considered good faith settlement negotiations.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This action is STAYED to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before the discovery process begins. Except as provided herein or by subsequent court order, no other pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay of this action. The parties shall not engage in formal discovery, but may engage in informal discovery to prepare for the settlement conference.

¹ If the case does not settle, the Court will then issue the Discovery and Scheduling Order.

4

9

14

17

18

19

22

24

26

27

28

- 2. This case is set for a video settlement conference, via the Zoom videoconferencing application, before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe on December 12, 2023 at 9:30 a.m.
- 3. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement shall attend via the **Zoom videoconferencing application**.²
- 4. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages. The failure or refusal of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the cancellation of the conference and the imposition of sanctions. The manner and timing of Plaintiff's transportation to and from the conference is within the discretion of CDCR.
- 5. Defendants shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email address: bamorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement to U.S. District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California 93721, "Attention: Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe." The envelope shall be marked "Confidential Settlement Statement." Settlement statements shall arrive no later than **December 5, 2023**. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement (See Local Rule 270(d)). Settlement statements should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "Confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.
- 6. The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the following:
 - a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
 - b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in

² In light of the coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak and the evolving coronavirus protocols, the Court may issue an order at a later date requiring the parties to appear in person.

Case 1:22-cv-00539-ADA-SAB Document 41 Filed 09/12/23 Page 4 of 5

	Case 1:22-cv-00539-ADA-SAB Docur	ment 41 Filed 09/12/23 Page 5 of 5
1	of Change of Address." <u>See</u> Local Rule 182(f).	
2	11. A failure to follow these procedures may result in the imposition of sanctions by the	
3	court.	
4	4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	SILAP
6	Dated: September 12, 2023	INITED OF A TEC MA CICED A TE HIDGE
7	7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	3	
9)	
10		
11	1	
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	5	
17	7	
18	3	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	5